







There are three primary drivers of the current risk aversion for NBFCs -

The first driver relates to short-term funding being used to finance long-term assets, an asset liability mismatch (ALM).

For micro-finance, the average loan tenure ranges from eight to nine months, for commercial vehicle finance it is 16 to 18 months, while for small business finance it is 12 to 16 months. Thus, the asset side duration for these businesses is very short.

On the liabilities side, the duration either mirrors the asset side, or is longer, and generally ranges from one to two years. Thus, these small to mid-sized NBFCs run a positive ALM mismatch.

The second cause of current risk aversion towards NBFCs has to do with refinancing or rollover of short-term capital market borrowings.

This concern is linked to the ALM issue, as a smooth rollover of shorter duration liabilities when assets are of longer duration is key for business continuity.

The third cause for concern has to do with asset quality.

This primarily pertains to exposure of NBFCs to the real estate sector—either as builder funding or loan against property (LAP).

Rollover refers to the practice of “rolling over” a loan, wherein the borrower pays the lender an additional fee in order to extend the loan due date. This additional fee increases the cost of borrowing, and can lead some borrowers to become trapped in a cycle of debt, also known as a “debt trap.”

Problems Plaguing NBFCs